

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Viggna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/872,166	06/01/2001	Jeffrey M. Bentley	1955 P 073	9157
26851 759	90 08/25/2003			
ROBERT W. DIEHL			EXAMINER	
311 S. WACKER DRIVE 53RD FLOOR			RIDLEY, BASIA ANNA	
CHICAGO, IL	60606-6622		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	_

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/872,166	BENTLEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Art Unit
•	101	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Basia Ridley	
Period for Reply	- <b></b>	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If 140 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Arry reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a rareply within the statutory minimum of thirting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely  THS from the mailing date of this communication (ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	This action is non-final.	
<u>, —</u>		ttore proceedation as to the marite is
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unconsposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>55-57 and 59-132</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>55-57 and 59-132</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requ	irement.
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ approved b)⊡ d	isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language		
Attachment(s)	-	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/872,166

Art Unit: 1764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim(s) 55-57 and 59-130, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 192.
- II. Claim(s) 131-132, drawn to a method, classified in class 48, subclass 197R.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as one where the reactant gas flow path does not reduce the parasitic requirements of the reactor.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Once the applicant elects one of the above indicated Inventions, a further restriction to a patentably distinct species, as set forth below, is required:

Application/Control Number: 09/872,166

Art Unit: 1764

Species A, as shown in Fig. 1-2, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is within the first reaction zone;

Species B, as shown in Fig. 1-2, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is outside the reactor;

Species C, as shown in Fig. 4, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is within the first reaction zone;

Species D, as shown in Fig. 4, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is outside the reactor;

Species E, as shown in Fig. 5, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is within the first reaction zone;

Species F, as shown in Fig. 5, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is outside the reactor;

Species G, as shown in Fig. 6, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is within the first reaction zone;

Species H, as shown in Fig. 6, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is outside the reactor;

Species I, as shown in Fig. 7, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is within the first reaction zone;

Species J, as shown in Fig. 7, wherein the partial oxidation vessel is outside the reactor.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/872,166

Art Unit: 1764

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Due to complexity of the above restriction requirement, no telephone call was made to request an oral election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1764

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Basia Ridley, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola, can be reached on (703) 308-6824.

The fax phone number for Group 1700 is (703) 872-9311 (for Official papers after Final), (703) 872-9310 (for other Official papers) and (703) 305-6078 (for Unofficial papers). When filing a fax in Group 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Basia Ridley Examiner Art Unit 1764

Enter I now She

BR

August 20, 2003